ORIGINAL PAPER

Fine mapping and analysis of a candidate gene in tomato accession PI128216 conferring hypersensitive resistance to bacterial spot race T3

Chengcheng Pei • Hui Wang • Jieyun Zhang • Yuanyuan Wang • David M. Francis • Wencai Yang

Received: 24 April 2011 / Accepted: 7 October 2011 / Published online: 22 October 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract Bacterial spot caused by Xanthomonas euvesicatoria, X. vesicatoria, X. perforans and X. gardneri is one of the most destructive diseases in tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum L.) growing in tropical and subtropical regions. Exploring resistance genes from diverse germplasm and incorporating them into cultivated varieties are critical for controlling this disease. The S. pimpinellifolium accession PI128216 was reported to carry the Rx4 gene on chromosome 11 conferring hypersensitivity and field resistance to race T3. To facilitate the use of markerassisted selection in breeding and map-based cloning of the gene, an F_2 population derived from a cross between the susceptible variety OH88119 and the resistant accession PI128216 was created for fine mapping of the Rx4 gene. Using 18 markers developed through various approaches, we mapped the gene to a 45.1-kb region between two markers pcc17 and pcc14 on chromosome 11. A NBS-LRR class of resistance gene was identified as the candidate for the Rx4 gene based on annotation results from the International Tomato Annotation Group. Comparison of the genomic DNA sequences of the Rx4 alleles in PI128216 and OH88119 revealed a 6-bp insertion/deletion (InDel) and eight SNPs. The InDel marker was successfully used to

C. Pei · H. Wang · J. Zhang · Y. Wang · W. Yang (⊠) Department of Vegetable Science, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China e-mail: yangwencai@cau.edu.cn

H. Wang - D. M. Francis Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, The Ohio State University/OARDC, 1680 Madison Ave, Wooster, OH 44691, USA

distinguish resistance and susceptibility in 12 tomato lines. These results will facilitate cloning the Rx4 gene and provide a useful tool for marker-assisted selection of this gene in tomato breeding programs.

Introduction

Bacterial spot caused by Xanthomonas euvesicatoria, X. vesicatoria, X. perforans, and X. gardneri (Jones et al. [2004](#page-8-0)) occurs for many areas of the world wherever tomatoes (Solanum lycopersicum L.) are grown and environmental conditions are favorable for disease development. The disease is of great economic importance because it causes severe yield loss and fruit quality reduction (Jones et al. [1991](#page-8-0); Zhao et al. [2004;](#page-9-0) Cui et al. [2005\)](#page-8-0). Five races designated T1 through T5 (T1 in X. euvesicatoria, T2 in X. vesicatoria, T3, T4, and T5 in X. perforans) have been defined by their virulence on tomato plants (Jones et al. [2000](#page-8-0), [2005](#page-8-0); Astua-Monge et al. [2000a\)](#page-8-0). Due to the existence of multiple sources of inoculum, lack of efficacy of commonly applied chemicals and development of resistance to these chemicals in bacterial populations (Yang and Francis [2007](#page-9-0); Stall et al. [2009](#page-8-0)), control of the disease using chemicals has not been effective once epidemics start. The use of host resistance would be a more efficient, economical and environmentally friendly approach to control the disease.

Resistance to bacterial spot in tomato has been defined as hypersensitive resistance (HR) and field resistance. Several tomato lines that develop a typical HR upon bacterial attack have been identified (Scott and Jones [1986](#page-8-0); Scott et al. [1997;](#page-8-0) Astua-Monge et al. [2000b\)](#page-8-0). Unimproved breeding line Hawaii7998 developed HR to race T1 strains expressing the *avrRxv* gene (Whalen et al. [1993](#page-9-0)).

Communicated by J. Yan.

Three non-dominant genes, $rx1$ and $rx2$ on each arm of chromosome 1 and $rx3$ on chromosome 5 acted independently, while three additional regions on chromosomes 3, 9 and 11 carrying susceptible alleles appeared to modify the HR in this line (Yu et al. [1995](#page-9-0)). The HR to race T3 found in an unimproved breeding line Hawaii7981 and two S. pimpinellifolium accessions PI126932 and PI128216 was mediated by the interaction between $avrXv3$ and a single gene Xv3 (Minsavage et al. [1996;](#page-8-0) Scott et al. [1996](#page-8-0); Stall et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). A later study designated the gene in PI128216 as $Rx4$ (Robbins et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). Both $Xv3$ and $Rx4$ were mapped to the same region on chromosome 11 (Robbins et al. [2009;](#page-8-0) Wang et al. [2011](#page-9-0)). The hypersensitivity to race T4 in S. pennellii accession LA716 was mediated by interaction between $avrXv4$ and a single gene $Xv4$, which was mapped to chromosome 3 (Astua-Monge et al. [2000b](#page-8-0)). However, the map position of $Xv4$ has not been confirmed and may be inaccurate (Stall et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). A bacterial avirulence gene avrBs4 activates HR in most S. lycopersicum germplasm. Recognition of avrBs4 was controlled by a single dominant gene Bs4 (Ballvora et al. [2001](#page-8-0)). Although the Bs4 gene has been cloned from tomato, it only confers resistance to bacterial strains that cause bacterial spot on pepper not on tomato (Stall et al. [2009](#page-8-0)).

Sources for field resistance to bacterial spot have also been identified in several tomato lines. Hawaii7998 was considered as the most reliable source of resistance to race T1 (Jones and Scott [1986](#page-8-0)). Two QTLs have been identified in this line. The locus $Rx3$ on chromosome 5 explained 41% of total phenotypic variation, and a locus on chromosome 4 associated with susceptibility in Hawaii7998 explained 11% of total phenotypic variation (Yang et al. [2005b\)](#page-9-0). The Rx3 locus had a dominant effect on the field resistance while HR was controlled by the recessive locus $rx3$. It remains unclear whether they are the same gene with different functions or they are different genes on the same chromosomal region. Hawaii7981, PI126932 and PI128216 also showed partial resistance to race T3 in the field (Scott et al. [1995\)](#page-8-0). Resistance in Hawaii7981 was conditioned by the $Xv3$ gene and some modifiers (Scott et al. [2001](#page-8-0)), while resistance in PI128216 was controlled by a single gene Rx4 (Robbins et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). S. lycopersicum var. cerasiforme accession PI114490 showed field resistance but no HR to races T1-T4 (Scott et al. [1995](#page-8-0), [2003](#page-8-0); Yang et al. [2005a](#page-9-0); Hutton et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0). Resistance to races T2 and T3 were controlled by two to three genes, respectively (Scott et al. [2003\)](#page-8-0), while resistance to race T4 was controlled by several QTLs and a locus on chromosome 11 explained 29.4% of total phenotypic variation (Hutton et al. [2010\)](#page-8-0).

The first occurrence of tomato bacterial spot in China was reported in 1940s (Yang et al. [2007\)](#page-9-0). Due to the success of quarantine, the disease did not become a serious problem before the 1990s. The outbreak of the disease in many regions was first reported in [1991](#page-8-0) (Sun et al. 1991). Since then, the disease spread quickly and caused severe yield losses throughout the country (Yang et al. [2007](#page-9-0)). Identification and characterization of isolates from five provinces suggested that two races T1 and T3 existed in China, and race T3 was dominant (Sun et al. [1999](#page-8-0)). However, none of the commercial cultivars currently growing in China were resistant to these two races (Zhang et al. [2009\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, herein we characterized resistance to race T3.

The gene Rx4 in PI128216 has been mapped to an interval of 22.1 cM between markers SL20181 and SL10027 on chromosome 11. The closest marker SL20181 is 3.3 cM away from the gene (Robbins et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). It remains a risk in using the marker to select lines with the Rx4 gene and to pyramid the gene with other resistance genes. Identification of molecular markers more tightly linked to the gene is necessary. In the present study, we mapped the $Rx4$ gene to a 45.1-kb region on chromosome 11. Furthermore, we identified a candidate gene associated with HR to race T3 in this region. This achievement is a major step toward the ultimate goal of cloning the Rx4 gene to elucidate the mechanisms involved in hypersensitivity to bacterial spot race T3 in tomato.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and mapping population

Solanum pimpinellifolium accession PI128216 has been reported to contain the $Rx4$ gene conferring HR to tomato bacterial spot race T3 (Robbins et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0). OH88119 is an elite processing tomato parent (Berry et al. [1995](#page-8-0); Francis et al. [2002](#page-8-0)) but susceptible to bacterial spot race T3 (Robbins et al. [2009](#page-8-0); Zhang et al. [2009](#page-9-0)). A cross was made between OH88119 and PI128216 to develop an F_2 population and subsequent generations for fine mapping of the Rx4 gene.

Tomato seeds of two parents, F_1 , F_2 and $F_{2:3}$ were sown in 288 Square Plug Tray Deep (Taizhou Longji Yuanyi Cailiao Co., Ltd, Zhejiang, China) filled with a mixture of peat and vermiculite (3:1) in a protected greenhouse. Seedlings were transplanted to the same greenhouse 50 days after seeding.

Inoculum preparation and inoculation

The *X. perforans* race T3 strain *Xv829* used in the study was kindly provided by Dr. Jeffery B. Jones at the University of Florida. The bacteria were grown on yeast, dextrose, and calcium carbonate (YDC) agar medium (Lelliot and Stead 1987) at 28° C for 48 to 72 h. Bacterial cells were washed from the agar plates with sterile doubledistilled water ($ddH₂O$) and the suspension was adjusted to approximately 3 \times 10⁸ CFU/ml (A₆₀₀ = 0.15). One hour prior to inoculation, the plants were misted with water. Inoculations were performed by leaf infiltration (Yang and Francis [2005](#page-9-0)) on at least three leaflets per plant. The bacterial suspension was infiltrated through the abaxial side of fully expanded leaflets using a 5-ml syringe without a needle until the infiltration area reached approximately 1.5 cm in diameter. Control plants were infiltrated with ddH2O. The inoculated plants were kept in the protected greenhouse at $22-28$ °C and misted with water twice per day (9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.) after infiltration to increase humidity. Ten days after infiltration, all inoculated leaves were removed and the second infiltration was conducted using the same approach as described above.

Disease scoring

The HR response was visually inspected using the method described by Robbins et al. [\(2009](#page-8-0)). Individual plant showing a clear response (confluent necrosis) on at least one leaflet was scored as HR, while plants without response were scored as non-HR (susceptibility). If an $F₂$ plant was scored as an HR in one inoculation and a susceptible response in the other inoculation, seeds of the plant were saved and 20 $F_{2:3}$ plants were evaluated for response to the bacteria. A few F_2 plants with ambiguous responses were excluded from the statistical analysis.

Molecular marker analysis and gene mapping

Young leaves were collected from F_2 individuals and ten plants for each parent. Genomic DNA was isolated using the modified CTAB method (Kabelka et al. [2002\)](#page-8-0). A total of 18 markers were used for mapping of the Rx4 gene and selection of recombinants in this study (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Five of them were adopted from the literature (References in Table [1](#page-3-0)). Based on the map position of $Rx4$ on chromosome 11 (Robbins et al. [2009\)](#page-8-0), 13 new markers including simple sequence repeat (SSR), single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), and insertion/deletions (InDel) in the region between markers SL20181 and SL10027 were developed by either comparing genomic DNA sequences between Heinz1706 (SL2.31sc03786) and LA1589 obtained from Sol Genomics Network (SGN) ([http://solgenomics.net\)](http://solgenomics.net) or comparing the sequences of PCR products amplified from PI128216 and OH88119 using primers of known markers from SGN. The scaffold SL2.31sc03876 was determined by searching the sequences of markers SL20181, cLEC-24- C3, and C2_At1g07960 from tomato whole genome shotgun sequences (SGN). Polymorphisms of SNP markers were detected as cleaved amplified polymorphisms (CAP) (Yang et al. [2004\)](#page-9-0) or dCAP (Neff et al. [1998\)](#page-8-0). Polymorphisms of SSR and InDel markers were detected using the methods described in Shen et al. [\(2011](#page-8-0)).

Two steps were adopted to determine the position of $Rx4$ gene on chromosome 11. To narrow down the region containing the Rx4 gene, a linkage map was first created by analyzing a subset of the F_2 population consisting 187 individuals with eight markers (SL20181, L19498, EP1552, cLEC-24-C3, C2_At1g07960, TAO1, C2_At2g2 8490, and SL10027) in the region between markers SL20181 and SL10027. After the gene was located in a narrowed region, seven new markers (C2_At3g11840, cLEF-51-G9, cLEF-10-O11, Solcap36510, pcc8, pcc12, and pcc14) were developed and used to genotype the whole $F₂$ population. Three more markers (pcc15, pcc16, and pcc17) were used to characterize the recombinant individuals. All linkage analysis was conducted using both JOINMAP3.0 (Van Ooijen and Voorrips [2001\)](#page-9-0) and MAPMAKER/EXP3.0 (Lander et al. [1987\)](#page-8-0).

Candidate gene identification and semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

cDNA sequences of candidate genes between markers pcc14 and pcc17 in the region of scaffold SL2.31sc03786 were obtained by searching the genomic DNA sequence against the ITAG Release 2.31 Predicted CDS provided in SGN. Predicted functions of these genes were also obtained from SGN by searching cDNA sequences against ITAG Release predicted proteins (SL2.31). Primers (Table [2\)](#page-4-0) were designed for each annotated gene in the region and used for semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis.

Total RNA was isolated from leaves of PI128216, Hawaii7981 and OH88119 plants infiltrated with 3×10^8 CFU/ml suspension of tomato bacterial spot race T3 strain $Xv829$ or mock-infiltration with ddH₂O. Since the locus Xv3 conferring HR to race T3 in Hawaii7981 is also mapped to the same region chromosome 11 (Wang et al. [2011](#page-9-0)), this line was included in this study for comparison analysis. Leaf samples were collected from two plants per genotype at 0.5, 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24, and 48 h post-infiltration. Total RNA was extracted using TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen, CA, USA) following the method described by the manufacturer. Single-stranded cDNA was synthesized with Oligo (dT) primer using Reverse Transcriptase M-MLV (TaKaRa, Dalian, Liaoning, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. The different cDNA samples were adjusted to equal concentrations by running a PCR primer specific for actin for calibration. PCRs were conducted with an initial denaturation step at 94° C for 3 min, followed by 22 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 30 s at 58° C, a 30 s extension at 72° C, and terminated by a final extension at 72° C for 3 min.

Gene/marker name	ITAG release 2 predicted proteins (SL2.31)		Primer sequence $(5'–3')$	Annealing temperature	Cycles	PCR product size (bp)
For semi-quantitative RT-PCR						
Solyc11g068970.1.1	Aluminum-activated malate transporter	f:	TCCTCAAGTGAAGGCCAGAT	56	28	195
		r:	AGATCTTCACCAGCCCACAC			
Solyc11g068980.1.1	Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit	f:	GGATGCCTACTGTGGAGGAA	56	28	253
		r:	GCAGCAGAGAAAACCAAACC			
Solyc11g068990.1.1	Methyltransferase-16, putative	f:	ACAGGCAGCAGAGTTGGACT	54	28	285
		r:	TGGATGCTTGGATGTTGGTA			
Solyc11g069000.1.1	T-complex protein 1 subunit beta	f:	TCAACAGGCAGAGGACACAG	54	28	144
		r:	TGAAGTTGTCCCATCACCAA			
Solyc11g069010.1.1	Alpha-L-fucosidase 1	f:	GGGATAGGCATGAGCATTGT	56	28	145
		r:	TCCATATCCTTCTCGCCATC			
Solyc11g069020.1.1	Disease resistance protein	f:	TGATGCAACTCCATCCAAAA	52	28	239
		r:	TAATCGATGAACGCCAACAA			
actin		f:	GTCCTCTTCCAGCCATCCA	58	28	126
		r:	ACCACTGAGCACAATGTTACCG			
For genomic DNA amplification						
Rx4g		f:	TCACTTGCTAAGACAGCCACT	56	38	2,831
		r:	CTGCACACGACACGCTTAAT			

Table 2 The six annotated genes in the Rx4 region of tomato chromosome 11 and information for semi-quantitative RT-PCR and isolation of the Rx4 gene

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was conducted in a 20-µl reaction volume. Each reaction consisted of $10 \mu M$ Tris– HCl (pH 9.0 at room temperature), 50 μ M KCl, 1.5 μ M MgCl₂, 50 μ M each of dNTP, 0.3 μ M each primer, 2 μ l cDNA template, and 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Takara). Reactions were heated at 94° C for 3 min followed by 28 cycles of 30 s at 94° C, 30 s at specific annealing temperatures (Table 2), and a 30 s extension at 72 $^{\circ}$ C. Final reactions were extended at 72 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min. Amplification was performed in a programmable thermal controller (PTC-100; MJ Research, Inc., Watertown, MA, USA). The PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed using a GIAS-4400 Gel Documentation System (Beony Science and Technology Co., Beijing, China).

Sequence analysis

Genomic DNA sequences of the candidate gene were determined through sequencing PCR products amplified from genomic DNA isolated from PI128216, Hawaii7981 and OH88119. Primers (Table 2) were designed based on the sequences of the candidate gene in genome sequences of LA1589 and Heinz1706. PCR was conducted using the method described in Yang et al. ([2004\)](#page-9-0) using Takara LA Taq DNA polymerase (Takara). The PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gel, purified using Agarose Gel Purification Kit (Biomed, Beijing, China), cloned into

pMD19-T vector (Takara) and sequenced at Sunbiotech (Beijing, China). Coding regions were determined by searching the genomic DNA sequence against the ITAG Release 2.31 Predicted CD (SGN). The sequences were analyzed using DNAMAN software (Lynnon Corporation, Quebec, Canada).

Marker-trait association analysis

To verify the association between the candidate gene and hypersensitive resistance, 12 tomato lines including five S. *pimpinellifolium* accessions (LA1269, LA1589, PI128216, PI365914, and LA2804), one S. lycopersicum var. cerasiforme accession PI114490, and six S. lycopersicum cultivars (Moneymaker, Rio Grande, OH88119, Liger 87-5, Baiguoqiangfeng, and Zhongshu 5) were subject to HR testing as described above and genotyping with the InDel marker pcc12, which was derived from the candidate gene.

Results

Response of parental, F_1 and F_2 plants to tomato bacterial spot race T3

All individuals from PI128216 and the F_1 showed HR to race T3 within 24 h after infiltration, while OH88119 plants showed water-soaked lesion 4 days after infiltration. In the $F₂$ population, clear differences between HR and water-soaked lesions were observed. Approximately 3% of the individuals had different responses between two infiltrations. Most of them could be classified into either HR or susceptible to the strain by re-evaluating the $F_{2:3}$ plants. Thus, a total of $1,116 \text{ F}_2$ individuals were used for final data analysis, of which 852 showed HR to the strain and 264 were susceptible. These data indicated that a single dominant gene in PI128216 (χ^2 = 1.0753) conferred HR to tomato bacterial race T3, which was consistent with the observation of Robbins et al. [\(2009](#page-8-0)).

Fine mapping of Rx4

Based on the phenotypic and genotypic data for the subset of 187 individuals in the F_2 population and eight markers, linkage maps for the chromosomal region between markers SL20181 and SL10027 were created by JOINMAP3.0 and MAPMAKER/EXP3.0. Markers were in the same order with similar genetic distance on the two maps. However, the Rx4 gene was located between markers EP1552 and cLEC-24-C3 on the map created by JOINMAP3.0, while it could be placed at either side of the marker cLEC-24-C3 on the map created by MAPMAKER/EXP3.0. Considering the small size of the mapping population, the linkage map created by MAPMAKER/EXP3.0 with a black bar indicating the potential position of the $Rx4$ gene is presented in the current study (Fig. 1a). The overall genetic distance for the region was 22.0 cM, which was almost the same (22.1 cM) as in Robbins et al. (2009) (2009) . New markers developed for the region between markers EP1552 and C2_At1g07960 were used to genotype the whole F_2 population of 1116 individuals. The $Rx4$ gene was then mapped to a 0.90-cM chromosomal region between markers cLEC-24-C3 and pcc14. The marker pcc12 was the closest to the Rx4 gene with a genetic distance of 0.07 cM (Fig. 1b). Five recombinants were identified in this region. Analysis of these recombinants using three additional markers pcc15, pcc16, and pcc17 suggested that the $Rx4$ gene was in the region between markers pcc17 and pcc14 (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)a).

Candidate gene identification and expression

The region between markers pcc14 and pcc17 in the scaffold SL2.31sc03876 was approximately 45.1 kb. Based on ITAG Release 2.31 Predicted CDS, six genes were identified in this region (Table [2;](#page-4-0) Fig. [2](#page-6-0)b). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis revealed that five of them expressed equally in both inoculated and mock-inoculated plants of PI128216, Hawaii7981 and OH88119 (data not shown). However, one gene Solyc11g069020.1.1 coding a disease resistance protein differentially expressed in resistant and

Fig. 1 Map position of Rx4 in Solanum pimpinellifolium accession PI128216 conferring hypersensitive resistance to tomato bacterial spot race T3 (Xanthomonas perforans) on chromosome 11 of tomato. Map distances (centimorgans) between each marker are on the left with marker names on the right. a Linkage map based on eight markers and 187 F_2 individuals derived from the cross between the susceptible variety OH88119 and resistant accession PI128216. **b** Linkage map based on nine markers and $1,116$ F₂ individuals derived from the cross between the susceptible variety OH88119 and PI128216

susceptible lines when the plants were inoculated with race T3 strain. The expression of the gene increased from 0.5 to 12 h after infiltration in leaves of PI128216 and Hawaii7981 plants infiltrated with bacteria or mock-infiltrated with $ddH₂O$, and then decreased. The same pattern of the gene expression was observed in leaves of OH88119 plants mock-infiltrated with $ddH₂O$. However, the expression of the gene kept at a low level at all time points in OH88119 plants when the bacterium was presented (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0).

Isolation of DNA sequence for the candidate gene

Genomic DNA sequence for the candidate gene was 2,661 bp in PI128216 (Genbank accession no. JF743045) and Hawaii7981 (Genbank accession no. JF743044), and 2,667 bp in OH88119 (Genbank accession no. JF743043) from the start codon ATG to the stop codon TAG. No difference was observed between PI128216 and Hawaii7981 at the nucleotide level. However, a 6-bp InDel

Fig. 3 Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the candidate gene expression in leaves of tomato line PI128216, Hawaii7981 and OH88119 at various time points after inoculation of bacterial spot race T3 strain $Xv829$ (I) or mock-inoculation with ddH₂O (MI). The

and eight SNPs were detected between sequences of PI128216 and OH88119 (Fig. 2c). Predicted cDNA analysis suggested that no intron existed in the gene. Therefore, the sequence encoded 886 amino acids in PI128216 and Hawaii7981, and 888 amino acids in OH88119. The 6-bp deletion in PI128216 caused the deletion of two amino acids. Six of eight SNPs caused non-synonymous substitution between PI128216 and OH88119, while two SNPs at nucleotide sites 963 bp (T/C) and 2,457 bp (C/T) resulted in synonymous substitutions.

amplification of the actin was used as a control to show that approximately equal amounts of total RNA had been used in the RT-PCR analysis

Association of the candidate gene with hypersensitive resistance in 12 tomato lines

Of the five S. pimpinellifolium accessions, LA1589, PI128216 and LA2804 showed HR to race T3, while LA1269 and PI365914 were susceptible to race T3. All six S. lycopersicum lines including OH88119 were susceptible to race T3. The sizes of PCR products amplified by the marker pcc12 were the same in LA1589 and LA2804 as in PI128216, and the PCR product sizes were the same in all

Fig. 4 Images of polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for separating PCR fragments amplified from 12 tomato lines using the marker pcc12. Lines PA1589, PI128216 and LA2804 showed hypersensitive resistance to tomato bacterial spot race $T3$ (X. *perforans*), while the remains were susceptible

susceptible lines as in OH88119 (Fig. 4). These results confirmed that marker pcc12 derived from the candidate gene was associated with HR to race T3.

Discussion

Combining high resolution map and genome sequence information can facilitate the process of gene identification and cloning. In the present study, we mapped the Rx4 gene to a 0.9-cM region on chromosome 11. The Rx4 gene was 0.07 cM away from the marker pcc12. With the tomato whole genome shotgun sequence, we identified a candidate for the Rx4 gene and found that the marker pcc12 was actually derived from the sequence of the candidate gene. Since a single misclassified progeny would result in a linkage estimate of 0.09 cM for the population size of 1,116 individuals, we carefully checked the genotypes and phenotypes for all individuals. All progenies showing HR to race T3 strain of tomato bacterial spot had the PI128216 allele homozygous or heterozygous, while all susceptible progenies had the OH88119 allele for the marker pcc12. The linkage map constructed using only three markers pcc14, pcc12 and cLEC-24-C3 as well as the phenotypic data put the Rx4 gene exactly at the pcc12 marker site (data not shown) suggesting that the Rx4 gene should always co-segregate with the marker pcc12.

Interactions between tomato plant resistance genes and bacterial spot pathogen avirulence genes have been well characterized (Whalen et al. [1993](#page-9-0); Minsavage et al. [1996](#page-8-0); Astua-Monge et al. [2000a,](#page-8-0) [b](#page-8-0)). However, all these studies focused on isolating avirulence genes from the pathogen and analyzing their functions on inciting the hypersensitivity in tomato plants. The progress on isolation of genes for resistance to bacterial spot in tomato plants has been slow. Of the six resistance genes/QTLs (rx1, rx2, rx3, Xv3/ Rx4, Xv4, and Bs4) mapped to several chromosomal regions (Yu et al. [1995](#page-9-0); Ballvora et al. [2001](#page-8-0); Robbins et al. [2009;](#page-8-0) Wang et al. [2011](#page-9-0)), only the Bs4 gene for HR to bacterial strains causing the disease on pepper (Ballvora et al. [2001\)](#page-8-0) has been fine-mapped. We here mapped the Rx4 gene to a 45.1-kb region and identified a candidate gene, which is a member of NBS-LRR class of resistance genes. The expression of the gene was higher in PI128216 and Hawaii7981 plants than in OH88119 plants inoculated with the bacterium, suggesting that the gene might be responsible for hypersensitivity in resistant lines. The results obtained here will facilitate the process of cloning the gene and understanding the mechanism of resistance to bacterial spot race T3 in tomato.

A number of tomato lines including Hawaii7981, PI128216, PI126932, Hawaii7998, PI114490, PI155372, PI340905-S and PI126428 have been reported to have hypersensitivity and/or field resistance to bacterial spot race T3 (Scott et al. [1995\)](#page-8-0). The HR is controlled by a common locus in PI126932 and PI128216, but is different from the locus in Hawaii7981 (Hutton [2008\)](#page-8-0). However, a recent study suggests that $Xv3$ in Hawaii7981 and $Rx4$ in PI128216 controlling HR and field resistance to race T3 might be allelic (Wang et al. 2011). $Xv3$ is an incompletely dominant gene where the homozygous HR plants had confluent necrosis at 24 h and the heterozygotes at 48 h (Scott et al. [1996](#page-8-0); Wang et al. 2011), while $Rx4$ is a dominant gene for HR (Robbins et al. 2009). The F_1 plants of OH88119 x PI128216 showing HR at 24 h after inoculation in this study also supported a dominant gene model. By isolating and comparing genomic DNA for $Rx4$ alleles in Hawii7981 and PI128216, we did not detect any difference at nucleotide level. Gene expression detected by semi-quantitative RT-PCR also showed the same pattern in two lines, suggesting the different performances of HR at heterozygous resistance gene alleles between Hawaii7981 and PI128216 might not be due to the gene itself. Currently, we suspected that the variation of HR in two sources might be caused by the precision of determining a rapid HR and an intermediate response in different laboratories. Indeed, Hawaii7981 showed dominance in other experiments (Samuel Hutton, personnel communication). Since plants heterozygous for $Xv3$ from Hawaii7981 were not included in this study, it is therefore not possible to directly compare the performances of Xv3 and Rx4 to race T3.

Breeding for resistance to bacterial spot in tomato using field and greenhouse selection has met with limited success due to the existence of multiple races of the pathogen, the lack of easy method to screen plants for resistance and the quantitative inheritance of resistance. Although some resistant varieties have been reported in India (Kavitha and Umesha [2008\)](#page-8-0), resistance has not yet been an effectively deployed tool for the prevention and management of the disease in most production regions. Identification of molecular markers linked to the resistance gene and the application of marker-assisted selection (MAS) offer an opportunity to pyramid resistance in a breeding line or hybrid. With the molecular marker Rx3-L1 tightly linked to Rx3 locus, selection of desirable individuals carrying the gene has been conducted on an accelerated time-scale (Yang et al. [2005b](#page-9-0); Zhang et al. [2009\)](#page-9-0). In this study, we discovered a 6-bp InDel in nucleotide sequences of the Rx4 alleles between resistant and susceptible lines. The marker pcc12 detecting the InDel will be useful to pyramid this gene with other resistance gene (e.g. $Rx3$) to develop lines or hybrids with resistance to multiple races of bacterial spot in tomato.

Acknowledgments The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (30972003), the Project Sponsored by the Scientific Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry, and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-08-0531).

References

- Astua-Monge G, Minsavage GV, Stall RE, Davis MJ, Bonas U, Jones JB (2000a) Resistance of tomato and pepper to T3 strains of Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria is specified by a plantinducible avirulence gene. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13:911–921
- Astua-Monge G, Minsavage GV, Stall RE, Vallejos CE, Davis MJ, Jones JB (2000b) Xv4-vrxv4: a new gene-for-gene interaction identified between Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria race T3 and the wild tomato relative Lycopersicon pennellii. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13:1346–1355
- Ballvora A, Pierre M, van den Ackerveken G, Schornack S, Rossier O, Ganal M, Lahaye T, Bonas U (2001) Genetic mapping and functional analysis of the tomato Bs4 locus governing recognition of the Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria AvrBs4 protein. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 14:629–638
- Berry SZ, Aldrich TS, Wiese KL, Bash WD (1995) 'Ohio OX38' hybrid processing tomato. HortScience 30:159
- Cui YY, Yang H, Sun XJ, Liao JJ, Hao WL (2005) Studies on bacterial diseases of tomato in Xinjiang. Xinjiang Agric Sci 42(4):229–231
- Francis DM, Berry SZ, Aldrich TS, Scaife KL, Bash WD (2002) 'Ohio OX 150' processing tomato. Rpt Tomato Genet Coop 52:36–37
- Hutton SF (2008) Inheritance and mapping of resistance to bacterial spot race T4 (Xanthomonas perforans) in tomato, and its relationship to race T3 hypersensitivity, and inheritance of race T3 hypersensitivity from PI126932. PhD Dissertation, University of Florida, Gainesville, USA
- Hutton SF, Scott JW, Yang WC, Sim SC, Francis DM, Jones JB (2010) Identification of QTL associated with resistance to bacterial spot race T4 in tomato. Theor Appl Genet 121:1275– 1287
- Jones JB, Scott JW (1986) Hypersensitive response in tomato to Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria. Plant Dis 70:337–339
- Jones JB, Jones JP, Stall RE, Zitter TA (eds) (1991) Compendium of tomato diseases. APS Press, Minnesota
- Jones JB, Bouzar H, Stall RE, Almira EC, Roberts PD, Bowen BW, Sudberry J, Strickler PM, Chun J (2000) Systematic analysis of xanthomonads (Xanthomonas spp.) associated with pepper and tomato lesions. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 50:1211–1219
- Jones JB, Lacy GH, Bouzar H, Stall RE, Schaad NW (2004) Reclassification of the xanthomonads associated with bacterial spot disease of tomato and pepper. Syst Appl Microbiol 27:755–762
- Jones JB, Lacy GH, Bouzar H, Minsavage GV, Stall RE, Schaad NW (2005) Bacterial spot-worldwide distribution, importance and review. Acta Hortic 695:27–33
- Kabelka E, Franchino B, Francis DM (2002) Two loci from Lycopersicon hirsutum LA407 confer resistance to strains of Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis. Phytopathology 92:504–510
- Kavitha R, Umesha S (2008) Regulation of defense-related enzymes associated with bacterial spot resistance in tomato. Phytoparasitica 36(2):144–159
- Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Newburg L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181
- Lelliot RA, Stead DE (1987) Methods for the diagnosis of bacterial diseases of plants. Blackwell Scientific Publication Ltd., Oxford
- Minsavage GV, Jones JB, Stall RE (1996) Cloning and sequencing of an avirulence gene (avrRxv3) isolated from Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria tomato race 3. Phytopathology 86:S15
- Neff MM, Neff JD, Chory J, Pepper AE (1998) dCAPS, a simple technique for the genetic analysis of single nucleotide polymorphisms: experimental applications in Arabidopsis thaliana genetics. Plant J 14:387–392
- Robbins MD, Darrigues A, Sim SC, Masud MAT, Francis DM (2009) Characterization of hypersensitive resistance to bacterial spot race T3 (Xanthomonas perforans) from tomato accession PI 128216. Phytopathology 99:1037–1044
- Scott JW, Jones JB (1986) Sources of resistance to bacterial spot in tomato. HortScience 21:304–306
- Scott JW, Jones JB, Somodi GC, Stall RE (1995) Screening tomato accessions for resistance to Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria, race T3. HortScience 30:579–581
- Scott JW, Stall RE, Jones JB, Somodi GC (1996) A single gene controls the hypersensitive response of Hawaii 7981 to race 3 (T3) of the bacterial spot pathogen. Rpt Tomato Genet Coop 46:23
- Scott JW, Miller SA, Stall RE, Jones JB, Somodi GC, Barbosa V, Francis DL, Sahin F (1997) Resistance to race T2 of the bacterial spot pathogen in tomato. HortScience 32:724–727
- Scott JW, Jones JB, Somodi GC (2001) Inheritance of resistance in tomato to race T3 of the bacterial spot pathogen. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 126:436–441
- Scott JW, Francis DM, Miller SA, Somodi GC, Jones JB (2003) Tomato bacterial spot resistance derived from PI 114490; inheritance to race T2 and relationship across three pathogen races. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 128:698–703
- Shen L, Shen HL, Chai M, Wang YL, Yang WC (2011) Genomic DNA polymorphisms in tomato varieties revealed by InDel and SSR markers. J. China Agric Univ 16(2):34–42
- Stall RE, Jones JB, Minsavage GV (2009) Durability of resistance in tomato and pepper to Xanthomonads causing bacterial spot. Annu Rev Phytopathol 47:265–284
- Staniaszek M, Kozik EU, Marczewski W (2007) A CAPS marker $TAO1_{902}$ diagnostic for the I-2 gene conferring resistance to Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici race 2 in tomato. Plant Breed 126:331–333
- Sun FZ, Zhu H, Zheng JQ, Yi Q (1991) Occurrence of bacterial spot in Beijing and Datong areas. Plant Prot 17(4):50–51
- Sun FZ, Du ZQ, Jiao ZL, Zhao TC, Cheng BY (1999) Pathogen and race identification of bacterial spot of pepper and tomato. Acta Phytopathol Sin 29:265–269
- Van Ooijen JW, Voorrips RE (2001) Joinmap 3: Software for the Calculation of Genetic Linkage Maps. Plant Research International, Wageningen
- Wang H, Hutton SF, Robbins MD, Sim SC, Scott JW, Yang WC, Jones JB, Francis DM (2011) Molecular mapping of hypersensitive resistance from tomato cv. Hawaii 7981 to Xanthomonas perforans race T3. Phytopathology 101:1217–1223
- Whalen MC, Wang JF, Carland FM, Heiskell ME, Dahlbeck D, Minsavage GV, Jones JB, Scott JW, Stall RE, Staskawicz BJ (1993) Avirulence gene avrRxv from Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria specifies resistance on tomato line Hawaii 7998. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 6:616–627
- Yang WC, Francis DM (2005) Marker-assisted selection for combining resistance to bacterial spot and bacterial speck in tomato. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 130:716–721
- Yang WC, Francis DM (2007) Genetics and breeding for resistance to bacterial diseases in tomato: prospects for marker assisted selection. In: Razdan MK, Mattoo AK (eds) Genetic improvement of solanaceous crops. Tomato, vol 1. Science Publishers, USA
- Yang W, Bai X, Kabelka E, Eaton C, Kamoun S, van der Knaap E, Francis D (2004) Discovery of single nucleotide polymorphisms in Lycopersicon esculentum by computer aided analysis of expressed sequence tags. Mol Breed 14:21–34
- Yang WC, Miller SA, Scott JW, Jones JB, Francis DM (2005a) Mining tomato genome sequence databases for molecular markers: application to bacterial resistance and marker assisted selection. Acta Hortic 695:241–250
- Yang WC, Sacks E, Ivey MLL, Miller SA, Francis DM (2005b) Resistance in Lycopersicon esculentum intraspecific crosses to race T1 strains of Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria causing bacterial spot of tomato. Phytopathology 95:519–527
- Yang WC, Chen J, Zhang XM, Francis DM (2007) Recent advances in classification of tomato bacterial spot pathogen, genetics of resistance, and marker-assisted selection. Sci Agric Sin 40(2):283–290
- Yu ZH, Wang JF, Stall RE, Vallejos CE (1995) Genomic localization of tomato genes that control a hypersensitive reaction to Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria (Doidge) dye. Genetics 141:675–682
- Zhang XM, Francis DM, Yang WC (2009) Evaluation of resistance to bacterial spot in varieties growing in China and marker-assisted selection. Acta Agriculturae Boreali-Sinica 24(4):183–187
- Zhao SF, Liao YX, Wang C, Li M, Peng S, Liu QW, Wei YH (2004) General occurrent situation of processing tomato diseases, pests and weeds and their integrated management techniques in Xinjiang. Xinjiang Agric Sci 41(5):274–276